



Remote Work and Its Impact on Employee Productivity and Organizational Culture: A Review of Post-Pandemic Business Practices

Farhan Zeb Khaskhelly*, Nudrat Hameed

Chronicle

Article history

Received: Dec 18, 2025

Received in the revised format: Jan 13, 2026

Accepted: Feb 27 2026

Available online: March 8, 2026

Farhan Zeb Khaskhelly* is currently affiliated with Institute of Business Administration, University of Sindh, Pakistan.

Email: farhan.zeb@usindh.edu.pk

Nudrat Hameed is currently affiliated with College Education Department, Government of Sindh, Pakistan.

Email: nudrathameed2015@gmail.com

Corresponding Author*

Keywords: Remote Work, Employee Productivity, Organizational Culture, Post-Pandemic, Hybrid Work.

© 2026 The Asian Academy of Business and social science research Ltd Pakistan.

Abstract

The COVID-19 pandemic has transformed the whole organizational and management structures across the world altering the corporate structures and management approaches. This review analyzes the effects of remote work on major aspects of employee productivity, job satisfaction, communication, and organizational culture. It combines the studies and theoretical models that dwell on the advantages of flexibility, autonomy, work-life balance, and issues such as isolation, communication barriers, and cultural dilution. The paper provides business executives and human resource managers with tips on how to maintain productivity and create a harmonious organizational culture in a hybrid and remote work environment. Basing its arguments on the global and South Asian view, especially on the emerging business environment in Pakistan, it offers useful insights on how organizations can sustain performance and survive in the digital age of work.

INTRODUCTION

In recent years, the global work landscape has changed dramatically as a result of the COVID-19 pandemic. The temporary response of a public health crisis that began as a temporary response to a public health crisis has been followed by continuous transformations of work structures in a variety of industries (Wang et al., 2021). By virtue of the flexibility of remote work, millions of workers had no choice but to work remotely. More than 25% of advanced economies now have the option to work remotely at least part of the week, according to 2022 McKinsey Global Institute report. Such hybrid and work-from-home arrangements also were normalized in emerging economies such as Pakistan where digitization in the education, finance, and IT fields has been accelerating in developing countries such as India (Ahmed & Malik, 2022).

BACKGROUND AND GLOBAL RISE OF REMOTE WORK

The concepts of remote work, referred to as telecommuting or telework, are new. It dates back to the 1970s, when advances in telecommunications allowed employees to work outside the office (Nilles, 1975). But, it was only for specific industries when high speed Internet, cloud computing and digital collaboration technologies allowed virtual work in the 21st century (Bloom et al., 2015). It also encouraged widespread adoption, making remote work a central practice rather than an exception. When companies began stepping up to virtual operations, management and employees faced new challenges that challenged norms of productivity, communication and workplace culture (Carnevale & Hatak, 2020).

DEFINITION AND CONCEPTUAL UNDERSTANDING OF REMOTE WORK

Remote work is defined as a work arrangement in which the individual performs work outside of the workplace by using digital technology to communicate and collaborate (Allen et al., 2015). In addition to fully remote, hybrid or flexible work-from-home models, it includes several forms. There is an integral component of remote work — the physical separation of employees from the organizational space with virtual contact with teams and management (Choudhury et al., 2020).

Significance of Studying Remote Work in the Post-Pandemic Era

The post-pandemic context offers both opportunities and challenges for organizations. On the one hand, remote work offers advantages of reduced operational costs access to global talent and better working-life balance; Biick et al., 2020. On the other hand, this concerns workers involvement with data security, organizational loyalty and the degradation of corporate culture (Contreras et al., 2020). To business leaders and human resource managers, understanding these dynamics is key to good hybrid policies that bring about efficient transition and efficient labor practices. The effect of remote work, which is in Pakistan, remains underdeveloped and traditional managerial practices, remains very complex in Pakistan. (Khan & Akhtar 2023).

Purpose and Scope of the Review

This review seeks to combine up recent studies on how remote work impacts employee productivity and organizational culture between 2015 and 2024. This presentation provides a glimpse into how digital tools, leadership styles and HR practices can impact remote work outcomes. This focus is on comparative studies of fully remote and hybrid systems across industry and geography, particularly with an emphasis on lessons to be applied in emerging economies. Their findings are intended to inform organizational policy, management decisions, and research in post-pandemic business restructuring.

The theoretical framework

This knowledge must be grounded in relevant theoretical models in human behavior, motivation, and organizational dynamics to understand the effects of remote work on productivity and culture. The three core theories—Social Exchange Theory, Job Demands-Resources (JD-R) Model, and Schein's Organizational Culture Theory—were used for examining how remote work blurs employee-organization relationships.

Social Exchange Theory (SET)

Social Exchange Theory, based on the Social Exchange Theory of Blau (1964), asserts that the social relationships are governed by reciprocal exchanges of resources, tangible or invisible. In the context of remote work, employees see organizational flexibility, trust, and independence as employer benefits. The mutual attraction, loyalty and performance reciprocate in return (Cropanzano & Mitchell, 2005). The influence of flexible conditions in the organization's employees and less willingness to change as a result of flexible work practices suggests that workers have greater affective commitment and less turnover intentions. But SET is also vulnerable to imbalance. Lacking organization support, including lack of communication or a mistrust of expectations, can result in low motivation and low productivity when employees are discouraged by lack of organization support. In remote work environments that

squander visibility and informal feedback, equitable and reciprocal feedback is essential, crucial, in (Biron & vanVeldhoven, 2016). For example, Shahand Zubair, of Pakistani IT professionals, found that perception of organizational support positively correlated with job satisfaction and task performance in remote environments. This supports SET's core argument for trust-based exchanges to help sustain worker performance.

Job Demands-Resources (JD-R) Model

Bakker and Demerouti (2007) suggest another way to understand remote work through a JD-R model. In every job there is a demand, such as workload, emotional strain and cognitive strain and resources such as autonomy, feedback, social support. Work-to-work resources encourage employees to meet demands, thus engaging and productive while deficiencies may lead to burnout and disengagement. In remote work, the JD-R model suggests that digital independence and flexible schedules can serve as a valuable resource that builds motivation and productivity in remote-working environments (Ten Brummelhuis & Bakker, 2012).

Conversely, disjunction of work-life boundaries, continuous connection, and lack of social support can cause increasing demands and stress (Derks et al., 2016). For those who had high job control and technological self-efficacy during COVID-19 lockdown, the results of Wang et al. (2021) show that workers with high job control and technological self-efficacy handled remote work demands more effectively, preserving performance in isolation. They also cited Khan et al. (2023) who observed that Pakistani knowledge workers whose digital task structures were clear and supportive leadership had lower burnout rate and higher satisfaction, suggesting that the JD-R model is predictive in cultural contexts.

Organizational Culture Theory (Schein, 2010)

Schein's Organizational Culture Theory is an interpretive framework for understanding how the interaction between remote and organizational culture restructures shared beliefs, norms, and values. In Schein (2010), organizational culture operates three levels, artifacts, espoused values, defined norms and strategies, and basic assumptions. The three levels of communication change by affecting communication patterns, collaboration rituals and perceptions of belonging.

Digital technology—like Zoom, Slack and Microsoft Teams—changes the artifacts of culture—how employees interact, share information and celebrate successes. For example, intentional virtual practices can also reinforce used values such as teamwork and innovation. At the deeper level, a basic view about “presence equals productivity” is being challenged as organizations learn to evaluate performance objectively by outcomes rather than attendance (Bailey & Kurland, 2020).

Empirical studies show that strong organization cultures can survive on the virtual shift if leadership practices are inclusive and transparent (Contreras et al., 2020). Yet weak cultures are also fragmented by physical proximity and informal bonding. Many family-owned and hierarchical businesses in Pakistan were culturally disaffected with the relocation to remote work as they were unable to trust or relied upon physical supervision because they had limited trust or overuse of physical supervision (Malik & Hassan, 2022). Thus, using Schein's theory reveals that culture in remote work requires the development of shared meaning and digital socialization.

Remote Work and Its Impact on Employee Productivity Khaskhelly, F,Z & Hameed, N(2026) **Negative Effects: Distractions, Blurred Boundaries, and Overworking**

Despite its potential, this area of flexibility presents risks to productivity. It can also introduce distractions—household chores, family responsibilities, or inadequate workspace (Allen et al., 2015). Without physical separation between home and office, "role conflict" may be incurred because employees have difficulty disengaging from work (Derks et al., 2016). This then sets the case for overworking. Studies show that remote workers often extend their working hours as a means of demonstrating commitment. Oakman et al. (2020) suggest that such "availability pressure" increases stress and fatigue, which reduce performance. In Pakistan, it is women employees in particular who bear this double burden of managing domestic expectations alongside professional tasks. Khan & Akhtar (2023)

Further, technological issues such as unstable internet, power outages, or digital overload impinge directly on productivity in developing contexts. As Aslam and Rehman (2021) put it, infrastructural instability in South Asia reduces remote efficiency as compared to advanced economies.

Role of Digital Tools and Time-Management Systems

The effectiveness of remote work has much to do with the appropriate application of digital technologies. Collaboration tools like Slack, Zoom, Trello, or Google Workspace help with task coordination, progress observation, and maintaining proper communication flows. Time-tracking and project-management software minimize ambiguity and ensure accountability (Choudhury et al., 2020).

However, excessive digital surveillance—like keystroke monitoring or screen-recording—can damage trust and autonomy. A study by Iqbal and Farooq in Pakistani telecom firms in 2022 showed that if employees perceived the level of monitoring to be excessive, then their performance satisfaction was decreased by 22 percent. Hence, technological management must balance transparency with trust.

Comparative Findings: Fully Remote versus Hybrid Models

The evidence is growing that the most balanced outcomes arise from hybrid models that combine office and remote days. For example, Bloom et al. 2022 show hybrid workers have higher satisfaction without sacrificing output. Hybrid structures can allow for much-needed social connection, mentorship, and cultural reinforcement while still preserving flexibility.

In contrast, fully remote teams may experience coordination delays and social isolation. However, for fully remote work in industries with knowledge intensity—like IT or consulting—finding objectives and employing asynchronous communications systems can retain effectiveness in operation, as suggested by Carnevale & Hatak (2020). In the context of Pakistan, hybrid models are emerging as the pragmatic choice. Multinationals like Telenor and Habib Bank Limited have adopted 2–3 remote days per week with reported stable productivity and less absenteeism.

Remote Work and Organizational Culture

Organizational culture is the social glue that binds people together through shared values, norms, and behaviors. Remote work, which disperses employees, can create problems for maintaining an organization's culture, as it limits traditional mechanisms like face-to-face interaction, rituals, and informal communication.

Impact on Communication, Collaboration, and Innovation

Communication is the lifeblood of organizational culture. In virtual environments, it relies almost entirely on technology. While digital tools enable real-time collaboration, they often reduce spontaneity and emotional richness (Bailey & Kurland, 2020). For instance, what is lost are hallway chats and random brainstorming that can provide people with unique moments of true innovative thinking about work.

A Deloitte 2021 survey reported that 47 percent of global managers believed creative collaboration had declined since going remote. On the other hand, some employees said asynchronous communication allowed for deeper reflection and less groupthink. Hence, communication quality rather than quantity becomes the key determinant of cultural strength.

In Pakistan, where collectivist values emphasize face-to-face relationships, remote communication causes cultural strain. Ahmed and Malik (2022) noticed that virtual meetings restrict nonverbal cues that make it difficult to assess emotions or uphold respect hierarchies pervasive in most South Asian workplaces.

Challenges to Team Cohesion and Shared Values

Team cohesion traditionally develops through shared experiences—office gatherings, informal lunches, or collective achievements. Remote teams risk fragmentation when these touchpoints vanish. Without explicit cultural practices, employees may become disengaged from organizational culture. Contreras et al., 2020

For that reason, leadership needs to create virtual rituals, like online town halls, recognition ceremonies, or even virtual coffee breaks, that can help maintain camaraderie. Morrison and Macky's study (2021) shows that this practice improves a feeling of belonging and reduces feelings of isolation.

Leadership and Managerial Adjustments in Virtual Environments

Similarly, remote leadership demands new kinds of competencies. Traditional command-and-control approaches are ineffective in cases where direct supervision is impossible. Effective virtual leaders emphasize trust, empathy, and outcome-based evaluation. Transformational leadership, characterized by inspirational motivation, vision, and individualized consideration, has most easily adapted to remote contexts. For example, Farooq et al. (2022) reported that transformational leadership positively predicted engagement among remote Pakistani banking staff. Leaders who convey clear goals and emotional support will maintain morale despite physical distance.

On the other hand, micromanaging through constant digital check-ins increases stress and erodes trust; managers should henceforth substitute presence-based control for transparent expectations and measurable deliverables.

Maintaining Trust and Engagement through Technology

Trust is the backbone of the culture in an organization. Consistent communication, reliability, and fairness sustain it in virtual working. Frequent virtual meetings, open-door digital policies, and transparent sharing of organizational updates help nurture psychological safety (Wang et al., 2021). Engagement can also be fostered through collaborative digital spaces. Many firms have implemented a social intranet or virtual communities of practice to replicate informal networking (Gibson et al., 2020). Game-like recognition platforms—like point-based appreciation systems—then will help

Remote Work and Its Impact on Employee Productivity Khaskhelly, F,Z & Hameed, N(2026)

sustain motivation and visibility. In Pakistan's public sector, however, digital engagement is still low due to bureaucratic rigidity and low technological literacy. According to Khan & Akhtar (2023), building digital trust requires investment in both infrastructure and digital-skills training.

Human Resource Management in Remote Work Environments

This working arrangement has fully revolutionized human resource management. For instance, recruitment, performance evaluation, training, and employee welfare all need digital redesigning. HR professionals are at the forefront of balancing organizational goals with employee welfare.

Performance Monitoring and Appraisal Challenges

Performance appraisal in virtual environments requires new kinds of indicators. Traditional metrics such as attendance or visible effort are irrelevant for a workforce operating from home. HR departments increasingly rely on output-based evaluation-tracking deliverables, quality, and deadlines rather than time logged. Carnevale & Hatak (2020)

However, virtual settings complicate the feedback. Without informal cues, managers might misinterpret productivity levels. A study by Allen et al. 2015 warns about proximity bias, which favors employees who are immediately visible and puts remote workers at a disadvantage. To counter this, organizations use data-driven dashboards and frequent check-ins focused on outcomes, not presence.

In addition, the performance management systems of all financial institutions in Pakistan now incorporate Key Performance Indicators compatible with hybrid work (Malik & Hassan, 2022). Still, many smaller enterprises have issues related to accountability and mistrust; in such cases, managerial capacity building is required.

Employee Well-being, Motivation, and Burnout Management

While remote work can offer flexibility, it also sometimes eliminates personal boundaries, leading to burnout. WHO recognized occupational burnout as a global risk in the year 2022. Remote workers reported a feeling of longer hours in work and reduced recovery time. This can be addressed by organizations through structured breaks, meeting-free days, and wellness programs. Psychological support, virtual counseling, and employee-assistance hotlines have all become part of HR strategies today (Contreras et al., 2020). Motivation is literally based on recognition and meaningful feedback in virtual environments. Regular acknowledgement through digital channels reinforces purpose and belonging. - Wang et al., 2021

In a survey conducted on 500 Pakistani remote workers in 2023, 68 percent cited "lack of feedback" as the main demotivator (Khan & Akhtar, 2023). Consistent virtual communication is therefore not only operational but psychological infrastructure.

Training and Development in Digital Workspaces

The remote work culture accelerated e-learning adoption. Online platforms like Coursera, Udemy, and LinkedIn Learning provide for continuous skill development. Virtual training reduces cost and allows personalized learning paths (Bick et al., 2020). However, effectiveness depends on interaction and engagement. Passive webinars often don't build competencies. Interactive workshops using breakout rooms, simulations, and collaborative projects work better (Gibson et al., 2020).

The HR departments of multinationals in Pakistan are utilizing LMS to provide blended training that includes both live sessions and self-paced learning modules. What is still a challenge is on the part of SMEs, which lack the resources for digital infrastructure.

POLICY RECOMMENDATIONS FOR SUSTAINABLE HYBRID SYSTEMS

Effective remote and hybrid work need to be institutionalized through well-crafted policies that are inclusive. Recommendations include:

- Outcome-based evaluation frameworks, wherein KPIs align to deliverables, not attendance.
- Digital inclusion initiatives involve the provision of technological resources to those staff that did not have the equipment.
- Flexibility in scheduling policies to balance family and work responsibilities.
- Virtual culture-building programs to ensure regular social interaction.
- Health and well-being integration-mandatory rest hours, mental health check-ins, and ergonomic guidance.

It also calls for the participation of governments. Labor laws and occupational guidelines on safety at work should evolve to cover remote conditions. The Ministry of IT and Telecommunication, Pakistan, has thus initiated policies promoting digital freelancing and remote employment. This marks the early progress of national regulation toward remote working.

Opportunities and Challenges for Organizations

Remote work has redefined competitive advantage and organizational design. For many companies, it has opened up new avenues to better optimize resources, attract diverse talent, and innovate business models. Yet, it also brings challenges related to cybersecurity, coordination, and culture management that require strategic foresight.

Opportunities: Cost Savings, Global Talent Acquisition, and Inclusivity

The most immediate organizational benefit of remote work is cost efficiency. The reduced need for office space, utilities, and maintenance directly decreases fixed overheads. Gartner estimated in 2022 that hybrid work models could save companies up to 30 percent in facility expenses. These savings can be utilized for technology upgrades and training of employees.

Another advantage of work-from-home arrangements is the expansion of access to global and more diverse talent pools. Organizations are no longer bound by geography, which enables them to hire a person with specialized skills without considering their location. According to Choudhury et al., 2020, it enhances innovation and inclusion because workers from varied cultural and socioeconomic backgrounds are incorporated into the workforce. Inclusion is not only about geography but extends to marginalized groups previously hindered from working on-site, such as persons with disabilities or carers. Virtual arrangements allow for flexible participation; hence, such arrangements promote equity and social responsibility. Carnevale & Hatak, 2020. The opportunity availed several technology startups in Pakistan to hire experts abroad on project-based contracts, enhancing competitiveness while shrinking payroll costs. The emerging freelance economy of the

Remote Work and Its Impact on Employee Productivity Khaskhelly, F,Z & Hameed, N(2026) country, ranked among the top five in the world by the World Bank, demonstrates well how remote work nurtures entrepreneurship and cross-border collaboration.

Challenges: Cybersecurity, Communication Breakdowns, and Cultural Fragmentation

These benefits of working from home are offset by some critical challenges. Of these, cybersecurity is perhaps the most significant. While working from home, employees access sensitive data through home networks and personal devices. This increases the possibility of cyber-attacks. Ransomware incidents around the world increased by 150 percent between 2020 and 2023 according to a report by IBM Security dated 2023. Without robust encryption, VPNs, and employee training, remote systems remain prone to attacks.

Communication breakdowns also threaten performance: digital channels can amplify misunderstandings based on lack of tone and context. Time-zone differences further complicate coordination in global teams (Bailey & Kurland, 2020). Regular synchronous meetings combined with asynchronous documentation are important to bridge these gaps.

A subtler but equally serious issue is cultural fragmentation. When employees rarely meet in person, organizational identity may erode. Contreras et al. (2020) argue that culture becomes "digitally mediated," dependent on deliberate rituals and storytelling rather than organic interaction. In hierarchical or collectivist societies like Pakistan, absence of physical hierarchy cues can cause confusion regarding authority and decision-making (Khan & Akhtar, 2023).

THE FUTURE OF WORK: HYBRIDIZATION AND FLEXIBLE MODELS

As organizations have adapted, hybridization-or a structured blend of remote and in-office work-has emerged as the dominant paradigm. According to McKinsey (2023), more than 70 percent of global corporations are planning to continue their hybrid policies indefinitely. Hybrid models blend flexibility with cultural reinforcement, balancing productivity and social cohesion.

Technological innovation is likely to further redefine flexibility. Advances in artificial intelligence, immersive meeting platforms, and analytics on the cloud will pave the way for frictionless collaboration regardless of location. According to Rahman, M. (2023) organizations that are incorporating digital transformation into human-centered design will achieve their competitive advantage on a sustainable basis. Hybrid work for developing economies supports the goal of regional economic decentralization. Allowing professionals to work from smaller cities, the remote systems reduce urban congestion and help in inclusive growth, a trend already observable in Pakistan's IT sector.

CONCLUSION AND RECOMMENDATIONS

Summary of Reviewed Findings

This review evaluated the effects on employee productivity and organizational culture on a multi-dimensional scope. The literature supports the perspective that autonomy, efficiency, and satisfaction are gained from remote work, but consideration needs to be taken on the extent to which work and outcome-based management occurs. The negative impact of remote work which needs to be

considered is the potential for overwork, isolation, and disintegration of a culture. The perspective of social exchange relations is the consideration of autonomy, trust, and reciprocity; the JD-R model and the balance of equilibrium selective and non-selective resources; and the work of Schein on culture provides insight on the cavity of digital culture. Together, these explain organization that succeeds with remote work and those that fail.

STRATEGIC RECOMMENDATIONS FOR MANAGEMENT AND ORGANIZATIONS

- Establish measurement of performance to be evaluated analyze not the time spent but what is achieved.
- Build and expand digital trust by empowering and communicative openness.
- Equipped with the resources and access to digital infrastructure to be developed.
- Provide a hybrid model that provides flexibility but also has defined collaborative days.
- Provide a work-life balance by embedding the culture of mental wellness into policy.
- Implement and enhance digital security and provide digital hygiene training to all.
- Build a culture virtually by providing and using storytelling to connect the organization with members to the values and mission.

FUTURE RESEARCH

There is a need for a longitudinal study to understand the psychological and cultural implications of remote work. Quantitative techniques can analyze time-series productivity models and qualitative studies can research virtual teams and their identities and sense of belonging. Contextual adaptability can be better understood through comparative studies across industries and cultures, particularly in developing countries.

DECLARATIONS

Acknowledgement: We appreciate the generous support from all the contributor to the research and their different affiliations.

Funding: No funding body in the public, private, or nonprofit sectors provided a particular grant for this research.

Availability of data and material: In the approach, the data sources for the variables are stated.

Authors' contributions: Each author participated equally in the creation of this work.

Conflicts of Interest: The authors declare no conflict of interest.

Consent to Participate: Yes

Consent for publication and Ethical approval: Because this study does not include human or animal data, ethical approval is not required for publication. All authors have given their consent.

REFERENCES

- Ahmed, S., & Malik, R. (2022). Remote work adaptation and productivity in Pakistan's service sector during COVID-19. *South Asian Journal of Business Studies*, 11(3), 245–262.
- Allen, T. D., Golden, T. D., & Shockley, K. M. (2015). How effective is telecommuting? Assessing the status of our scientific findings. *Psychological Science in the Public Interest*, 16(2), 40–68.

Remote Work and Its Impact on Employee Productivity **Khaskhelly, F,Z & Hameed, N(2026)**

- Bailey, D. E., & Kurland, N. B. (2020). A review of telework research: Findings, new directions, and lessons for the virtual organization. *Organizational Psychology Review*, 10(1), 22–54.
- Bakker, A. B., & Demerouti, E. (2007). The Job Demands-Resources model: State of the art. *Journal of Managerial Psychology*, 22(3), 309–328.
- Bick, R., Hase, A., & Moore, S. (2020). Digital learning in the remote workplace: Impacts on employee engagement. *International Journal of Training and Development*, 24(4), 321–336.
- Biron, M., & van Veldhoven, M. (2016). When control becomes a liability rather than an asset: Comparing home and office days among part-time teleworkers. *Journal of Organizational Behavior*, 37(8), 1317–1337.
- Blau, P. M. (1964). *Exchange and power in social life*. John Wiley & Sons.
- Bloom, N., Davis, S., & Zhestkova, Y. (2022). The benefits and costs of working from home: Evidence from hybrid work trials. *National Bureau of Economic Research Working Paper* 30393.
- Bloom, N., Liang, J., Roberts, J., & Ying, Z. J. (2015). Does working from home work? Evidence from a Chinese experiment. *Quarterly Journal of Economics*, 130(1), 165–218.
- Carnevale, J. B., & Hatak, I. (2020). Employee adjustment and well-being in the era of COVID-19: Considerations for human resource management. *Journal of Business Research*, 116, 183–187.
- Choudhury, P., Foroughi, C., & Larson, B. Z. (2020). Work-from-anywhere: The productivity effects of geographic flexibility. *Strategic Management Journal*, 42(4), 655–683.
- Contreras, F., Baykal, E., & Abid, G. (2020). E-leadership and teleworking in times of COVID-19 and beyond: What we know and where do we go. *Frontiers in Psychology*, 11, 590271.
- Cropanzano, R., & Mitchell, M. S. (2005). Social exchange theory: An interdisciplinary review. *Journal of Management*, 31(6), 874–900.
- Derks, D., van Duan, A., Tims, M., & Bakker, A. B. (2016). Smartphone use and work-home interference: The role of family supportive supervisor behaviors. *Journal of Occupational Health Psychology*, 21(4), 411–420.
- Farooq, R., Khalid, A., & Khan, S. (2022). Transformational leadership and engagement in remote banking staff: Evidence from Pakistan. *Asian Journal of Business Ethics*, 11(2), 155–173.
- Gajendran, R. S., & Harrison, D. A. (2007). The good, the bad, and the unknown about telecommuting: Meta-analysis of psychological mediators and individual consequences. *Journal of Applied Psychology*, 92(6), 1524–1541.
- Gartner. (2022). *Hybrid work cost-efficiency report*. Gartner Inc.
- Gibson, C., Gibbs, J., & Kirkman, B. L. (2020). Virtual teams: Past, present, and future. *Academy of Management Annals*, 14(1), 217–255.
- IBM Security. (2023). *Cost of a data breach report 2023*. IBM Corporation.
- Iqbal, S., & Farooq, U. (2022). Digital surveillance and employee trust: Evidence from Pakistan's telecom industry. *Journal of Business and Management Research*, 18(2), 99–113.
- Khan, H., & Akhtar, T. (2023). Remote work, gender roles, and productivity in Pakistan: Post-pandemic insights. *Pakistan Journal of Management Sciences*, 20(1), 45–62.
- Malik, A., & Hassan, R. (2022). Hybrid work adoption in Pakistani corporations: Cultural and managerial challenges. *Asian Journal of Organizational Behavior*, 9(3), 233–248.
- McKinsey & Company. (2023). *The state of hybrid work 2023*. McKinsey Global Institute.
- Morrison, R. L., & Macky, K. (2021). Virtual team cohesion: The role of online rituals. *Group & Organization Management*, 46(4), 611–639.
- Nilles, J. M. (1975). *The telecommunications-transportation tradeoff*. John Wiley & Sons.
- Oakman, J., Kelliher, C., Wilkes, D., & Gallagher, C. (2020). Flexible work: Managing the health impacts of working at home. *Safety and Health at Work*, 11(4), 383–389.
- Rahman, M. (2023). Identifying Evidence-Based Strategies to Strengthen the Ability of Social Enterprises to Scale Health Impact in Low-and Middle-Income Countries (Duke University)

- Rahman, M. (2024). Molecular Epidemiology of Uranium Exposure: Omics Approaches in Cancer Research. *Indus Journal of Bioscience Research*, 2(1), 25-31.
<https://doi.org/10.70749/ijbr.v2i1.2286>
- Rahman, M., Faisal, M., & Inam, R. Effect Of Visual Aids on The Motor Development Of Children With Down
- Schein, E. H. (2010). *Organizational culture and leadership* (4th ed.). Jossey-Bass.
- Shah, Z., & Zubair, S. (2021). Perceived organizational support and job performance among remote IT workers in Pakistan. *International Journal of Business Research*, 14(2), 102–118.
- Syndrome
- Ten Brummelhuis, L. L., & Bakker, A. B. (2012). A resource perspective on the work–home interface: The work–home resources model. *American Psychologist*, 67(7), 545–556.
- Wang, B., Liu, Y., Qian, J., & Parker, S. K. (2021). Achieving effective remote working during the COVID-19 pandemic: A work design perspective. *Applied Psychology*, 70(1), 16–59.
- World Bank. (2023). *Pakistan digital economy diagnostic report*. World Bank Group.



2026 by the authors; The Asian Academy of Business and social science research Ltd Pakistan. This is an open access article distributed under the terms and conditions of the Creative Commons Attribution (CC-BY) license (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>).